

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/637,229	09/637,229 08/11/2000		Cetin K. Koc	245-55512	7362
24197	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
•		RKMAN, LLP	LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A		
	121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600				PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAN	D, OR 9	7204	2131		
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/637,229	KOC ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Christian La Forgia	2131					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>15 June 2006</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			,				
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11,16-18 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11,16-18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received. uments have been received in	Application No	l Stage				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International		en received in this Nationa	1 Stage				
* 0	application from the international see the attached detailed Office action fo		ot received.					
•	see the attached detailed Office action to	The most of three defining depresents						
Attachmen	tie)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-traction Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 15 June 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 6-11, 16-18, and 22 have been presented for examination.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 12-15, and 19-21 have been cancelled as per Applicant's request.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-11, 16-18. and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. See further rejections that follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The Examiner cannot find any discussion or illustration of a third and fourth processing unit in the

Art Unit: 2131

specification and drawings, respectively. The Applicant is required to show specifically where the third and fourth processing units are discussed to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 9. Claims 6-11 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,035,317 to Guy, hereinafter Guy.
- 10. As per claims 6 and 16, Guy discloses a cryptographic processor, comprising: inputs for receiving a first and a second cryptographic parameter represented as elements of a finite field (column 1, lines 17-22, column 1, lines 36-51, column 7, lines 35-38); and

a multiplication module configured to receive the cryptographic parameters from the inputs, the multiplication module including a first processing unit and a second processing unit (Figures 1 and 3 [blocks 19, 20]) configured to determine a Montgomery product of the cryptographic parameters (column 1, lines 7-22), the first processing unit and the second processing unit configured to receive a first bit and a second bit corresponding to the first parameter, respectively, and partial words of the second parameter (column 4, line 9 to column 5, line 36, column 7, line 33 to column 8, line 45, column 17, line 47 to column 18, line 50, column 20, lines 1-67, column 23, lines 8-67).

Art Unit: 2131

11. Regarding claim 7, Guy discloses wherein at least one processing unit is configured to communicate intermediate values of partial words of the Montgomery product to a different processing unit (column 1, lines 7-22, column 4, line 9 to column 5, line 36, column 7, line 33 to column 8, line 45, column 17, line 47 to column 18, line 50, column 20, lines 1-67, column 23, lines 8-67).

- 12. Regarding claim 8, Guy discloses a field-representation-select input in communication with the multiplication module for selection of an arithmetic operation in the multiplication module to be performed in accordance with GF(p) or $GF(2^m)$ arithmetic (column 1, lines 7-22).
- 13. With regards to claims 9 and 17, Guy teaches wherein the arithmetic operation selectable with the field-type input is field addition (column 6, lines 8-17, column 6, lines 46-53).
- 14. With regards to claim 10, Guy discloses a dual-field adder in communication with the field-type input (column 6, lines 8-17, column 6, lines 46-53).
- 15. Concerning claim 11, Guy teaches wherein the first and second cryptographic parameters are represented as m bits and e words of word length, wherein [(m + 1) / w] (column 1, lines 30-43).

Art Unit: 2131

16. With regards to claim 18, Guy discloses a computer-readable medium containing instructions for executing the method of claim 17 (Figure 2 [block 3], column 8, line 60 to column 9, line 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 18. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guy.
- 19. Regarding claim 22, Guy does not disclose the multiplication module further comprise a third processing unit and a fourth processing unit configured to receive a third bit and a fourth bit, respectively, corresponding to the first parameter and partial words of the second parameter.
- 20. It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the multiplication module further comprise a third processing unit and a fourth processing unit configured to receive a third bit and a fourth bit, respectively, corresponding to the first parameter and partial words of the second parameter, since it has been held that it only requires routine skill in the art to merely duplicate a the first and second processing units thereby creating third and fourth processing units, especially since Guy discloses at column 1, lines 7-15 that by operating multiplication circuits in parallel improves the performance of modular operations according to the Montgomery method. See MPEP § 2144.04; see *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2131

22. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to related applications, such as:

United States Patent No. 7,046,800 to Tenca et al., which is cited to show a commonly owned scalable Montgomery multiplication patent.

- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian LaForgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7-5.
- 24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131

clf